### BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

### <u>18 MAY 2009</u>

#### MARCH (PERIOD 12) PERFORMANCE REPORTING

| Responsible Portfolio Holder | Cllr Mike Webb, Portfolio Holder for<br>Customer Care & Service |  |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Responsible Head of Service  | Hugh Bennett, Assistant Chief<br>Executive                      |  |  |
| Non Key Decision             |   |  |  |

### 1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1.1 To report to The Board on the Council's performance at the year end, 31 March 2009 (period 12).

### 2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 That The Board notes that 50% of PIs are stable or improving.
- 2.2 That The Board notes that 78% of PI's that have a target met their target at the year end (compared to 75% in 2007/08).

That The Board notes the performance figures for March 2009 as set out in 2.3 Appendix 2.

That The Board notes the summary of the year end position as set out in sections 3.4 to 3.6 below.

#### 3. BACKGROUND

3.1 The full list of performance indicators due to be reported monthly is set out in **Appendix 2** where:-

| On Target                 | I   | Performance is Improving |
|---------------------------|-----|--------------------------|
| Less than 10% from target | S   | Performance is Stable    |
| More than 10% from target | W   | Performance is Worsening |
| No target set             | N/a | No target set            |

- 3.2 Comparisons of overall performance improvements this month to last month are shown on Appendix 1.
- 3.3 Although this is a quarter end the usual integrated performance and finance report is not produced at the year end. This is because the final accounts will be prepared and submitted to special council meeting in June. In addition a

full report of performance for the year will be included in the Annual Report which will be published in June. Therefore this report is intended to give an early understanding of the year end position on performance prior to the publication of the Annual Report.

- 3.4 Of the corporately reported PI's with a target for this year 78% met their target, compared to 75% at the end of last year. Six PI's missed their target by less than 10% and two PI's missed their target by more than 10%.
- 3.5 The following PI's performed significantly above target

|  | -                           |        |         |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|---------|
| PI Ref   | Description                 | Target | Outturn |
| FP001  | Percentage of invoices paid | 99%    | 99.38%  |
|  | within 30 days of receipt   |        |         |
| The target for this indicator was increased from 98% to 99%. Throughout    |                             |        |         |
| the year this target has been on target and above and we are now aiming    |                             |        |         |
| in 09/10 to make payments to suppliers within 10 days of receipt. This has |                             |        |         |
| been a good achievement and the new POP system has helped achieve it.      |                             |        |         |

| PI Ref | Description   | Target | Outturn |
|--------|---|--------|---------|
| NI 195 | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness -litter                | 13%    | 6%      |
|        | nt improvements in street clea<br>ent over the past few years have co |        |         |

| PI Ref | Description   | Target | Outturn |
|--------|---|--------|---------|
| NI 195 | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness -detritus              | 20%    | 15%     |
|        | nt improvements in street clea<br>ent over the past few years have co |        |         |

| PI Ref  | Description  | Target | Outturn |
|---|--|--------|---------|
| LPI<br>Depot  | %age of reported abandoned vehicles investigated within 24 hours | 95%    | 98.80%  |
| Use of a contractor to investigate abandoned vehicles has contributed to high performance |  |        |         |

| PI Ref  | Description   | Target | Outturn |
|---|---|--------|---------|
| LPI<br>Depot  | %age of abandoned vehicles<br>removed within 24 hours of legal<br>entitlement | 95%    | 100%    |
| Use of a contractor to investigate abandoned vehicles has contributed to high performance |   |        |         |

| PI Ref       | Description                               | Target              | Outturn         |
|--------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|
| LPI<br>Depot | % animal/debris cleared within timescales | 95%                 | 100%            |
| Street c     | leansing operatives taking more           | pro-active approach | with removal of |

| ebris |
|-------|
|       |

| PI Ref               | Description                                 | Target              | Outturn             |
|----------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| LPI<br>Depot         | % of flytips dealt with in<br>response time | 95%                 | 100%                |
| Street cl<br>tipping | eansing operatives taking more pr           | o-active approach v | vith removal of fly |

| PI Ref                         | Description                                  | Target | Outturn |
|--------------------------------|--|--------|---------|
|                                | Number of missed household waste collections | 1,392  | 1,136   |
| Target reviewed and increased. |  |        |         |

| PI Ref                             | Description                    | Target | Outturn |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------|
| LPI                                | Number of missed recycle waste | 600    | 281     |
| Depot                              | collections                    |        |         |
| Improved performance of operatives |                                |        |         |

| PI Ref   | Description  | Target | Outturn |  |  |
|----------|--|--------|---------|--|--|
| LPI SC   | Sports development usages  | 20,505 | 21,219  |  |  |
| 5        |  |        |         |  |  |
| Increase | Increased capacity within the team has resulted in improved quantity and |        |         |  |  |

quality of delivery which has positively impacted upon usage.

| PI Ref   | Description                        | Target     | Outturn         |  |
|--|------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| NI 181   | Time taken to process HB /         | 16         | 15.03           |  |
|  | CTB new claims and change          |            |                 |  |
|  | events                             |            |                 |  |
|  | a new indicator with effect from a | •          | •               |  |
|  | year comparisons or benchmar       | 9          |                 |  |
|  | he target. In early January 2009   |            |                 |  |
| be met so the estimated outturn was increased to 18. However, with the     |                                    |            |                 |  |
| introduction of VRA (a simplified claim process), the year end uprates and |                                    |            |                 |  |
| the hard work and dedication of the team, throughout January, February     |                                    |            |                 |  |
| and March there was a huge increase in performance and the average time    |                                    |            |                 |  |
|  | l, allowing us to exceed the targ  |            |                 |  |
|  | measures in place to check a       |            | erformance on a |  |
| weekly b   | pasis which may see a further im   | provement. |                 |  |

3.6 The following PI's did not meet their target :

# PI's missing their target by less than 10%:

| PI Ref   | Description                   | Target             | Outturn           |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| NI 157   | Time taken to determine       | LPI 75%            | 67.8%             |  |
|  | 'Major' planning applications | (National 60%)     |                   |  |
| Two thirds of applications over time were a result of referral to Government |                               |                    |                   |  |
| Office and or Statutory processes. Other applications were called to         |                               |                    |                   |  |
| Committee by Members with just one application going over due to Officer     |                               |                    |                   |  |
| error. In two green belt locations (Ash Lane, Hopwood and Moundsley          |                               |                    |                   |  |
| Hall, Wa   | alkers Heath) Members gave ac | ditional weight to | the 'very special |  |

circumstances' relevant to the balancing exercise. This resulted in the need to also refer these applications to GOWM resulting in delays in the speed at which these applications were processed.

Performance in this category in 07/08 was exceptional showing that the processes are in place to achieve the LPI, However the nature of applications submitted in the year has a significant influence.

| PI Ref | Description                   | Target         | Outturn |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| NI 157 | Time taken to determine       | LPI 80%        | 76.5%   |
|        | 'Minor' planning applications | (National 65%) |         |

Numerous issues in 08/09 including; loss of five officers in six months, new computer system and associated training, falling number of applications, new staff to train, extended periods of sick leave. Close monitoring of reasons for applications going over time has reduced the occurrence of admin errors and minor mistakes.

With a fully staffed team performance in 09/10 should not be affected by these matters.

| PI Ref            | Descrip | otion   |      |            | Target  |         | Outturn |
|-------------------|---------|---------|------|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| NI 157            | Time    | taken   | to   | determine  | LPI     | 90%     | 89.5%   |
|                   | 'Other' | plannin | g ap | plications | (Nation | al 80%) |         |
| Commente es aboys |         |         |      |            |         |         |         |

Comments as above

| PI Ref | Description   | Target | Outturn |
|--------|---|--------|---------|
| NI 192 | Percentage of household waste<br>re-used, recycled and<br>composted | 45%    | 43.25%  |

The reduction in garden waste due to the introduction of the chargeable service has resulted in a slightly lower than predicted outturn. Current projections for 2009/10 are 39% although this may increase as applications for the service are still being received. From April 2010 with comingled recycling there should be an increase in the overall outturn.

| PI Ref   | Description                       | Target                | Outturn            |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
|  | % of PACT meetings attended       | 85%                   | 80%                |  |
|  | by SMT members                    |                       |                    |  |
| There were some issues about lack of notification of meetings earlier in the year, |                                   |                       |                    |  |
| which resulted in some meetings being missed, however communication has            |                                   |                       |                    |  |
| improved   | d since then. Occasionally PACT m | eetings clash with of | ther key meetings, |  |

such as Cabinet, and cannot be attended. Target expected to be met next year.

| PI Ref  | Description                      | Target             | Outturn         |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|   | Sports centres usages            | 672,420            | 627,404         |
| As detailed in the appendix, the final figure shown does not include attendances at |                                  |                    |                 |
| Haybridge in March, so the actual shortfall is of the order of 25,000, not 40,000   |                                  |                    |                 |
| plus. S   | Some delays in refurbishments at | the Dolphin centre | during the year |

plus. Some delays in refurbishments at the Dolphin centre during the year affected the usage figures as did the closure of the Haybridge site as block booking migrated to new venues once announced in Jan. Following the opening of the new fitness suite and the enhanced management arrangement that have been implemented next years target is expected to be met

# PI's missing their target by more than 10%:

| PI Ref   | Description   | Target  | Outturn  |
|--|---|---|--|
|  | The average number of<br>working days lost due to<br>sickness.  | 8.75 days   | 10.66 days   |
| an incre   | e saw higher than anticipated le<br>ease in the number of Long-te<br>absence is not possible to predic  | rm sickness abs   | ence cases. This   |
| months   | agingly the overall levels of s<br>of this year have shown a decre<br>taken to address the high level   | ease in comparisc   | n to last year.  |
| <ul> <li>An u</li> <li>Sick agreeusing</li> <li>using</li> <li>traing</li> <li>well</li> <li>emp</li> <li>New</li> </ul> | update report was taken to PMB<br>kness Performance clinics were<br>eement to meet bi-monthly; to s<br>ing Connect, Core Brief, Mana<br>hing for managers on Return<br>ness works campaign; consider<br>ployment with regards sickness p<br>v style stand alone sickness rep<br>9/10. | ;<br>e held, outcomes<br>set up a commun<br>gers and Staff<br>to work interving c<br>pay. | of which include:<br>ications campaign<br>Forums; establish<br>iews; introduce a<br>current contracts of |

Target for 2009/10 to remain at 8.75 days

|  | 400          |  |  |
|--|--------------|--|--|
|  | 438          |  |  |
|  |              |  |  |
| BurglariesThis is first time in 3 years that Domestic Burglary target has not been<br>achieved. Cross-border offenders have been identified as key issue.Increased joint working with West Mids Police and priority for local police<br>and Community Safety Tasking. Resident awareness programme being<br>rolled out |              |  |  |
| ar   | eness progra |  |  |

# 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no financial implications

# 5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no legal implications.

# 6. <u>COUNCIL OBJECTIVES</u>

6.1 Performance reporting & management links to the Improvement objective

# 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

- 7.1 The main risks associated with the details included in this report are:
  - Data quality problems
  - Poor performance
- 7.2 These risks are being managed as follows:
  - Implementation of the Data Quality Strategy
  - Robust follow up on performance issues, including performance clinics

### 8 CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS

8.1 Performance Improvement is a Council Objective

### 9. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are no implications for the Council's Equalities and Diversity Policies.

### 10. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 • There are no VFM implications

### 11. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues None

Personnel Issues None

Governance/Performance Management – Production of the performance report supports the aim of improving performance & performance management

Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime & Disorder Act 1988 None

Policy None

**Environmental None** 

### 12. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

| Portfolio Holder                             | Yes(At          |
|--|-----------------|
|  | Leader's Group) |
| Chief Executive                              | Yes (at CMT)    |
| Executive Director (Partnerships & Projects) | Yes (at CMT)    |
| Executive Director (Services)                | Yes (at CMT)    |
| Assistant Chief Executive                    | Yes             |
| Head of Service                              | Yes             |
| Head of Financial Services                   | Yes (at CMT)    |

| Head of Legal, Equalities & Democratic Services | Yes (at CMT) |
|---|--------------|
| Head of Organisational Development & HR         | Yes (at CMT) |
| Corporate Procurement Team                      | Yes (at CMT) |

### 13. WARDS AFFECTED

All Wards.

### 14. <u>APPENDICES</u>

| Appendix 1 | Performance Summary for March 2009                 |
|------------|--|
| Appendix 2 | Detail Performance report for March 2009           |
| Appendix 3 | Detailed figures to support the performance report |
| Appendix 4 | Detailed sickness absence figures                  |

# 15. BACKGROUND PAPERS

None

# Contact officer

Name:John Outhwaite, Senior Policy & Performance Officeremail:j.outhwaite@bromsgrove.gov.ukTel:(01527) 881602